

## **Mathematical Approaches for Modelling Flow and Transport Porous Media: Enhancing Groundwater Resource Development**

Bansal, Rekha

Assistant Professor (Department of Mathematics), Pt. Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Rajkiya Mahila  
Mahavihalya Farah Mathura

### **Abstract**

Porous media, consisting of interconnected voids or pores, serve as critical frameworks for fluid flow and mass transport in natural and engineered systems. They play a vital role in applications ranging from groundwater resource management and hydrocarbon recovery to carbon capture and environmental remediation. Groundwater, which provides nearly half of the world's drinking water and supports global agriculture and industry, is increasingly stressed by over-extraction, pollution, and climate change. The spatial heterogeneity of porous media, coupled with the complexity of multiphase flows and reactive transport, poses significant challenges to accurate modelling using traditional approaches like Darcy's Law. This paper reviews advanced mathematical techniques for modelling flow and transport processes in porous media, emphasizing their application to groundwater management and other critical domains. Key approaches, including numerical methods, multiphase flow models, and reactive transport frameworks, are explored in detail. Emerging technologies, such as enhanced oil recovery (EOR) and carbon capture and storage (CCS), further illustrate the economic and environmental significance of refining porous media models. Additionally, the integration of novel tools such as machine learning and inverse modelling is highlighted as a means to improve parameter estimation and account for system heterogeneity. By addressing the limitations of traditional models and incorporating real-world complexities, this study underscores the importance of developing innovative mathematical frameworks to support sustainable resource management and environmental protection. The findings contribute to enhancing predictive capabilities for groundwater systems and optimizing solutions for energy and environmental challenges.

## 1. Introduction

Porous media, comprising networks of interconnected voids or pores, play a pivotal role in various natural and engineered systems. From soils and aquifers to rock formations and man-made materials like ceramics, membranes, and filters, porous media serve as the framework for fluid flow and mass transport processes. The movement of fluids through these systems underpins several vital applications, including groundwater resource management, hydrocarbon recovery, carbon capture and storage, and environmental remediation.

Understanding and accurately modelling fluid flow and transport through porous media is critical for managing groundwater resources, especially in the face of increasing global water scarcity. According to the United Nations World Water Development Report (2022), groundwater provides approximately 50% of all drinking water worldwide, 40% of water used in irrigation, and supports 33% of the world's population. In regions like India, China, and the United States, groundwater is the primary source of freshwater. Furthermore, groundwater resources in many parts of the

world are under severe stress due to over-extraction, pollution, and climate change. The International Water Management Institute (IWMI) reported that over 50% of the world's aquifers are being depleted faster than they can be replenished, threatening long-term water security.

Groundwater systems are characterized by significant spatial heterogeneity due to variations in pore structure, permeability, and fluid properties within aquifers. Traditional models such as Darcy's Law, which describes the flow of fluid through a homogeneous porous medium, have been widely used for groundwater flow predictions. However, these models are limited in their ability to capture the complexities of real-world systems, particularly when dealing with multiphase flows (e.g., the movement of oil, gas, and water in the same porous medium) and reactive transport processes (e.g., the migration of contaminants through groundwater). As groundwater resources are becoming increasingly vital for both domestic and industrial purposes, the need for advanced mathematical models that accurately account for these complexities has never been greater.

Porous media are not just limited to groundwater applications. They also play a crucial role in the energy sector, particularly in the extraction of hydrocarbons from underground reservoirs. According to the United States Energy Information Administration (EIA), about 33% of global energy consumption in 2022 came from oil, with much of this oil being recovered from porous rock formations through enhanced oil recovery (EOR) techniques. The International Energy Agency (IEA) estimates that EOR technologies could potentially add 300 billion barrels of oil to global reserves by 2050, further illustrating the economic and environmental significance of refining flow models in porous media.

In addition to oil extraction, other emerging technologies, such as carbon capture and storage (CCS), also rely heavily on porous media for storing CO<sub>2</sub> underground to mitigate climate change. The success of these technologies hinges on our ability to predict the behaviour of fluids, gases, and solutes within the complex pore structures of geologic formations.

As environmental pressures continue to mount, porous media have become a central focus in environmental remediation. The movement of

contaminants through soils and aquifers requires precise models of reactive transport to predict the spread of pollutants and inform cleanup strategies. According to the United States Geological Survey (USGS), approximately 25% of the nation's drinking water sources are contaminated by human-made pollutants, further highlighting the need for accurate models in groundwater management and environmental protection.

Despite its critical importance, the complexity of flow and transport processes in porous media presents numerous challenges. The heterogeneity of porous structures and the involvement of multiple phases (e.g., water, gas, and hydrocarbons) lead to non-linear behaviours that cannot be captured by simple models. Additionally, reactive transport, in which chemical reactions occur between fluids and the porous medium, further complicates the modelling process. These complexities necessitate the development of innovative mathematical approaches that can overcome the limitations of classical models like Darcy's Law and incorporate real-world heterogeneity and dynamics.

### **1.1 Research Motivation and Importance**

The motivation for developing advanced mathematical models for flow and transport in

porous media stems from the urgent need to better manage groundwater resources, address energy challenges, and protect the environment. As global populations continue to grow, demand for fresh water, energy, and natural resources is increasing, while the supply of these resources is diminishing due to overuse, contamination, and climate change. Groundwater, which serves as the primary source of freshwater in many parts of the world, is being rapidly depleted. Data from FAO indicates that groundwater supplies nearly 30% of global freshwater withdrawals, making sustainable management essential for the future.

Moreover, the degradation of water quality due to contamination from industrial processes, agriculture, and urban development requires sophisticated models to predict the movement of pollutants and design remediation efforts. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that contaminated water is responsible for more than 485,000 deaths per year, largely due to waterborne diseases caused by pathogenic microorganisms and toxic chemicals.

In the energy sector, enhanced oil recovery (EOR) techniques, which rely on multiphase flow through porous rock formations, are

essential for boosting oil production from mature fields. Advanced mathematical models can optimize these processes, resulting in increased efficiency and reduced environmental impact. Additionally, as climate change drives global efforts to reduce carbon emissions, technologies such as CCS, which store carbon dioxide in subsurface reservoirs, require accurate models to ensure the long-term stability of storage sites.

Environmental remediation efforts, including soil and groundwater cleanups, also depend heavily on mathematical models of reactive transport in porous media. These models allow scientists and engineers to predict how pollutants will move through the environment and how they can be mitigated, making them crucial for safeguarding public health and ecosystems.

## 1.2. Research Objectives:

The goal of this research is to enhance groundwater resource development through innovative mathematical modelling of flow and transport in porous media. Key objectives include:

- **Develop advanced mathematical models** to capture complexities of groundwater flow and reactive transport in heterogeneous porous media.

- **Apply numerical and computational tools** such as finite element methods (FEM) and partial differential equations (PDEs) for accurate simulations.
- **Validate models using real-world groundwater data** to ensure accuracy and applicability.
- **Optimize groundwater management strategies** for sustainable extraction, recharge, and contamination control.
- **Address environmental sustainability** in groundwater resource development amid climate change challenges.

## 2. Review of Literature:

The modelling of flow and reactive transport in porous media is essential for effective groundwater resource management, particularly in light of growing demands and environmental challenges. This literature review examines key advancements from 2011 to 2024, focusing on innovative mathematical models that improve our understanding and management of groundwater through developments in multiphase flow, reactive transport, machine learning integration, and applications in environmental and energy sectors.

Helmig et al. (2013) introduced a comprehensive framework that accounts for

nonlinearities in capillary pressure and relative permeability, essential for accurately modelling multiphase systems. Their research specifically focused on three-phase flow in heterogeneous porous media, demonstrating that conventional models can underestimate or overestimate fluid saturations and pressure distributions by as much as 20%. Utilizing a combination of laboratory experiments and numerical simulations, the study showcased the framework's applicability in real-world aquifer systems, particularly in understanding water, oil, and gas interactions.

Nord Botten and Celia (2012) further explored the dynamics of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration in geological formations, emphasizing the critical role of multiphase flow in understanding CO<sub>2</sub> migration and trapping. They analysed data from the Sleipner project in Norway, where approximately 17 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> had been injected by 2011. Their numerical modelling illustrated how traditional Darcy-based models inadequately represented pressure buildup and phase interactions in the subsurface, particularly under varying saturation conditions. This work underscored the necessity of integrating multiphase flow models to predict long-term CO<sub>2</sub> behaviour

accurately, providing insights critical for climate change mitigation strategies.

Benson et al. (2015) conducted research on the interaction of aqueous and gas phases in subsurface environments, focusing on the implications for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration. They applied a multiphase flow model to the Ketzin site in Germany, where around 67,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> had been injected. Their findings revealed that the presence of gas can significantly alter the flow characteristics of aqueous phases, leading to unexpected pressure buildups and phase segregation. The study emphasized the need for models that incorporate gas-water interactions to improve predictions of subsurface CO<sub>2</sub> behaviour.

Fleming et al. (2015) investigated the impact of capillary pressure on multiphase flow in porous media using a laboratory setup designed to simulate subsurface conditions. Their experiments highlighted that capillary pressure hysteresis could lead to discrepancies of up to 30% in predicted fluid saturations when compared to models that do not account for this phenomenon. This study reinforced the importance of incorporating capillary pressure dynamics in multiphase flow models to improve accuracy in predicting fluid distributions in aquifers.

Zhang et al. (2018) developed a new computational framework to simulate multiphase flow in fractured porous media, using data from various case studies, including oil reservoirs and groundwater aquifers. Their work demonstrated that neglecting the impact of fractures on fluid flow can lead to significant errors in predicting fluid migration paths. They reported that traditional models could underestimate flow rates in fractured environments by up to 40%, emphasizing the necessity for enhanced modelling techniques that account for both fracture networks and multiphase interactions.

Xie et al. (2020) further expanded the understanding of multiphase flow dynamics by investigating the effects of thermal gradients on fluid behaviour in porous media. Their research focused on geothermal reservoirs and demonstrated that variations in temperature could lead to significant changes in fluid properties, affecting saturation and pressure distributions. Their simulations indicated that neglecting thermal effects could result in overestimations of fluid mobility, with potential errors exceeding 25% in some scenarios. This study highlighted the importance of integrating thermal dynamics into multiphase flow models for applications in

geothermal energy extraction and enhanced oil recovery.

Gao et al. (2022) studied the interaction of multi-component gas mixtures during multiphase flow in porous media, particularly in the context of natural gas production. Using data from field experiments, they developed a new model that incorporates the effects of gas component interactions on phase behaviour. Their results showed that traditional models could misrepresent gas recovery rates by more than 30% if multi-component interactions were not accounted for. This research indicates the growing importance of refining multiphase flow models to capture the complexities of real-world gas production scenarios.

### 2.1. Reactive Transport in Heterogeneous

**Media:** The interaction of chemical reactions with fluid flow in heterogeneous media is vital for assessing groundwater quality and remediation strategies. Research in this area has revealed that incorporating spatial variability in permeability and other physical properties can significantly influence solute transport behaviours and reaction kinetics.

De Simoni et al. (2011) developed a model that couples fluid flow with chemical reactions, showing that spatial variability in permeability can lead to unexpected transport behaviours.

Their model, applied to a groundwater contamination site in Italy, demonstrated that variations in permeability could alter solute transport times by up to 40%, illustrating the necessity of incorporating heterogeneity into reactive transport models. This study highlighted that ignoring local variability could lead to inefficient remediation strategies and suboptimal assessments of contaminant spread.

Building on this work, Li and Benson (2015) investigated the influence of pore-scale heterogeneity on reaction kinetics, emphasizing how microscale variations affect macroscopic transport phenomena. Conducting laboratory experiments to measure the degradation of reactive solutes in heterogeneous sands, they found that neglecting microscale features could result in up to 50% error in predicting contaminant plume behaviour. Their research used a combination of synthetic and field samples, stressing the need to consider microstructural effects in larger-scale models to enhance predictive capabilities.

Zhang et al. (2016) focused on the role of colloidal particles in reactive transport, showing that they can significantly influence the mobility of contaminants in heterogeneous



media. Their experiments demonstrated that colloids could enhance solute transport by 30% in porous media, affecting the efficiency of natural attenuation processes in groundwater. They modelled the interaction between colloidal transport and chemical reactions, providing a framework that incorporates these dynamics into predictive models.

Xiong et al. (2019) explored the implications of temperature on reactive transport processes in heterogeneous porous media. Their study utilized data from a field site affected by geothermal activity, demonstrating that temperature variations could alter reaction rates and solute transport properties. Their findings indicated that neglecting thermal effects could result in predictions that were off by more than 25%, underscoring the importance of integrating thermal dynamics into reactive transport models.

**2.2. Fractured Porous Media Dynamics:** The impact of fractures on flow dynamics in porous media has been a focal point for many studies, as fractures can significantly alter fluid behaviour and contaminant transport in subsurface environments.

Karimi-Fard et al. (2016) introduced advanced discrete fracture network (DFN) models that simulate the complex interactions between

fractures and the surrounding matrix. Their research, which incorporated data from the Bakken formation, revealed that fractures could enhance fluid mobility and significantly alter flow patterns, especially in low-permeability formations. Their simulations indicated that neglecting fracture dynamics could lead to an underestimation of fluid recovery rates by as much as 30% in enhanced oil recovery scenarios, emphasizing the need for fracture-aware models in resource extraction efforts.

Lei et al. (2015) further explored the stochastic modelling of fracture networks, demonstrating that the geometry and connectivity of fractures significantly influence fluid transport in subsurface environments. Their models incorporated extensive field data from the Appalachian Basin, highlighting that fracture density and orientation could lead to variations in permeability by a factor of two or more. They reported that in some areas, the effective permeability of fractured formations could reach values exceeding 1000 mD, demonstrating the critical need to integrate fracture characteristics into broader porous media models.

Friedman et al. (2017) studied the effects of hydraulic fracturing on subsurface flow



dynamics. Their research utilized field data from shale gas operations, indicating that hydraulic fracturing could create interconnected networks of fractures that enhance fluid flow. Their findings suggested that these induced fractures could increase gas production rates by up to 50% compared to unfractured formations. They emphasized that models simulating hydraulic fracturing should consider the temporal evolution of fracture networks to accurately predict changes in permeability over time.

Huang et al. (2020) focused on the role of fracture surfaces and roughness on flow dynamics. They conducted experiments on artificial fracture networks to analyse how surface roughness influences fluid flow and transport properties. Their results indicated that rough fractures could reduce flow rates by up to 35% compared to smooth fractures, challenging assumptions in traditional modelling approaches. This work highlighted the importance of accurately characterizing fracture surfaces to improve the predictive capabilities of fluid transport models in fractured media.

**2.3. Integration of Machine Learning in Modelling:** The integration of machine learning with traditional modelling approaches

has transformed the prediction of flow and transport in porous media. Sun et al. (2020) developed data-driven models that leverage large datasets to enhance model accuracy and computational efficiency. They applied their models to predict flow behaviours in heterogeneous aquifers, achieving an average accuracy improvement of 15% compared to traditional methods. This integration signifies a substantial shift in modelling methodologies, allowing for more accurate and efficient groundwater resource management.

In a similar vein, Karra et al. (2020) applied machine learning algorithms to predict permeability distributions in heterogeneous reservoirs. Their research utilized a dataset from the North Sea basin, showcasing a 20% reduction in prediction error compared to conventional methods. Their findings underscore the potential of combining empirical data with machine learning techniques to enhance the reliability of groundwater flow predictions.

Further advancements were made by Wang et al. (2021), who explored the application of random forest algorithms to predict groundwater contamination. Their model achieved an accuracy of 90% in identifying contamination hotspots, demonstrating the

effectiveness of machine learning in environmental assessments. Similarly, Cheng et al. (2021) utilized artificial neural networks (ANNs) to model groundwater levels, reporting a 25% improvement in predictions over traditional regression models. This highlights the promise of neural networks in hydrological applications.

Zhou et al. (2022) developed deep learning models specifically aimed at assessing aquifer vulnerability, which provided high-resolution predictions of areas at risk for contamination, further demonstrating the versatility of machine learning techniques in groundwater modelling.

#### **2.4. Applications in Environmental and Energy Sectors**

The applications of innovative modelling approaches extend beyond theoretical research, significantly impacting environmental and energy sectors. Bear et al. (2018) explored contaminant transport in subsurface environments, emphasizing the need for accurate modelling to inform groundwater remediation strategies. Their study highlighted that traditional models often underestimate contaminant plume migration rates, which can have dire implications for groundwater quality and public health.

Zhang et al. (2021) investigated advanced modelling techniques for optimizing enhanced oil recovery processes, focusing on the complex interactions between fluids, rock, and fractures. Their work involved field data from various oil fields, indicating that incorporating detailed fracture models can increase oil recovery by up to 15%. This research demonstrated the relevance of porous media studies in managing energy resources effectively.

In a related study, Baker et al. (2019) analysed the effects of land-use changes on groundwater quality. Their findings indicated that urbanization could increase contamination risk by up to 40%, thereby necessitating improved land management practices. Additionally, Gomez et al. (2020) examined the role of machine learning in optimizing water treatment processes, reporting that predictive models could reduce treatment costs by up to 20% while maintaining water quality standards.

Martinez et al. (2021) expanded on the applications of ML by developing models for predicting sediment transport in river systems, achieving significant improvements in prediction accuracy, which is crucial for effective river basin management.

## 2.5. Recent Developments (2021-2024):

Recent studies have increasingly focused on the impact of climate change on subsurface flow and reactive transport processes. Gao et al. (2023) examined the influence of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels on groundwater systems, proposing models that account for feedback mechanisms between CO<sub>2</sub> saturation and flow dynamics. Their research utilized data from various carbon sequestration projects, revealing that changes in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration can alter groundwater flow patterns significantly, necessitating adaptive management strategies for groundwater resources.

Martinez et al. (2024) introduced innovative reactive transport models incorporating microbially-induced calcite precipitation, applicable to both carbon sequestration and soil stabilization. Their study highlighted that engineered biogeochemical processes could enhance carbon storage in aquifers, emphasizing the importance of sustainable practices in groundwater management.

Further studies, such as Patel et al. (2023), have investigated the effects of changing precipitation patterns on groundwater recharge rates. Their findings indicate that shifts in rainfall can lead to up to a 30% decrease in recharge efficiency in arid regions,

underscoring the need for adaptive water management strategies in the face of climate variability.

Smith et al. (2023) focused on the interaction between land use and groundwater resources, revealing that agricultural practices significantly influence groundwater quality and availability. Their modelling showed that sustainable agricultural practices could mitigate negative impacts, improving groundwater management outcomes.

Together, these studies emphasize the critical role of innovative modelling approaches, including machine learning and adaptive strategies, in understanding and managing groundwater resources amid ongoing environmental changes.

## 3. Methodology:

This research methodology aims to develop innovative mathematical models for flow and reactive transport in porous media, enhancing groundwater resource development. The methodology will be divided into five comprehensive phases: Data Collection and Integration, Model Development, Numerical Simulation, Model Validation, and Implementation of Monitoring Systems.

### Phase 1: Data Collection and Integration

#### 1. Data Acquisition

o **Remote Sensing Data:** Utilize satellite imagery to collect information on land use, surface moisture levels, and vegetation cover. This data will provide insights into potential aquifer recharge areas and surface water interactions.

o **Groundwater Level Monitoring:** Install a network of piezometers to measure groundwater levels across different locations. Collect historical groundwater level data from existing wells to analyse trends and seasonal fluctuations.

o **Meteorological Data Collection:** Gather data on precipitation, evaporation rates, temperature, and humidity from local weather stations. This information will be crucial in understanding groundwater recharge rates and overall water availability.

o **Soil and Geological Data:** Conduct geological surveys to gather data on soil types, hydraulic conductivity, and porosity. This information will help characterize the subsurface environment and its impact on groundwater flow.

## 2. Data Integration

o **Geographical Information System (GIS):** Integrate all collected data into a GIS platform to create a spatially explicit database. This system will allow for easy visualization

and analysis of groundwater resources and potential recharge areas.

o **Data Validation and Cleaning:** Perform quality over any outliers or erroneous data points that could impact subsequent analyses.

## Phase 2: Model Development

### 1. Mathematical Formulation

o **Governing Equations:** Formulate the governing equations for single-phase and multiphase flow in porous media, incorporating factors such as capillarity, gravity, and temperature gradients. Use partial differential equations (PDEs) to describe fluid flow and transport phenomena.

o **Chemical Reactions and Biogeochemical Processes:** Extend classical flow models to include reactive transport equations that account for chemical reactions occurring within the porous media. Incorporate biogeochemical processes affecting solute transport and aquifer quality.

### 2. Homogenization Techniques

o **Macroscopic Models:** Apply homogenization techniques to derive macroscopic models from microscopic descriptions of porous structures. This will allow for the simplification of complex

systems into more manageable forms while retaining essential features.

### Phase 3: Numerical Simulation

#### 1. Discretization of Governing Equations

o **Numerical Methods:** Employ numerical methods such as finite element, finite volume, and spectral methods to discretize the governing equations. This step will facilitate the numerical solution of the PDEs and enable simulations of flow and transport dynamics.

#### 2. Adaptive Mesh Refinement and Multigrid Methods

o **Mesh Refinement Techniques:** Implement adaptive mesh refinement techniques to enhance the accuracy of numerical simulations. This will involve refining the computational mesh in regions of interest where gradients are steep or complex flow phenomena occur.

o **Multigrid Methods:** Utilize multigrid methods to improve the efficiency of numerical simulations, particularly for large-scale problems. This approach will accelerate the convergence of iterative solvers used in the simulations.

### Phase 4: Model Validation

#### 1. Comparison with Experimental Data

o **Case Studies:** Validate the developed models against experimental data from case studies in hydrology and petroleum engineering. Use field data from groundwater monitoring wells to assess the accuracy of model predictions.

o **Statistical Analysis:** Conduct statistical analyses to compare model outputs with observed data. Employ metrics such as root mean square error (RMSE) and correlation coefficients to quantify the performance of the models.

#### 2. Sensitivity Analysis

o **Parameter Sensitivity:** Perform sensitivity analyses to identify key parameters influencing model behavior. This will help in understanding the uncertainties associated with model predictions and guide further refinements.

### Phase 5: Implementation of Monitoring Systems

#### 1. Real-Time Monitoring Infrastructure

o **Sensor Deployment:** Install real-time sensors in key aquifer locations to continuously monitor groundwater levels, water quality, and recharge rates. Use IoT

technologies for data transmission to a central database.

o **Data Integration with Models:** Integrate real-time monitoring data with predictive models to enable dynamic assessment of groundwater resources. This integration will facilitate adaptive management based on current conditions.

## 2. Predictive Analytics for Sustainable Management

o **Sustainable Yield Estimation:** Utilize predictive analytics to estimate sustainable extraction rates based on real-time data. Incorporate machine learning techniques to refine predictions based on historical data and current trends.

o **Automated Decision-Making Systems:** Develop algorithms that automatically adjust water extraction rates based on real-time monitoring data, balancing human consumption with natural replenishment.

## 4. Expected Outcomes of the Research Proposal:

- **Innovative Mathematical Models:** Development of advanced models that accurately predict groundwater flow and reactive transport, incorporating factors like capillarity and temperature gradients.

- **Enhanced Decision-Making Tools:**

Creation of predictive analytics tools that estimate sustainable groundwater extraction rates and inform resource management strategies.

- **3D Aquifer Characterization:**

Development of comprehensive 3D models using remote sensing and machine learning to visualize aquifer dynamics and recharge zones.

- **Sustainable Resource Management:**

Identification and protection of critical recharge areas to ensure long-term groundwater availability and minimize ecological disruption.

- **Contributions to Policy and Practice:**

Providing actionable insights and data-driven recommendations to guide effective groundwater management policies and practices.

## 5. Significance and Impact of the Research:

The proposed research is poised to make a significant contribution to sustainable groundwater management by enhancing our understanding of aquifer dynamics and enabling better resource allocation. By integrating advanced modelling techniques, machine learning, and remote sensing, this study represents a shift toward innovative approaches in hydrogeological studies, paving

the way for future research and applications. Furthermore, the identification and protection of recharge zones will help preserve ecosystem integrity, promoting biodiversity while reducing the negative impacts of water extraction on natural habitats. Economically, improved groundwater management strategies will lead to cost savings for industries and municipalities by optimizing extraction methods and mitigating the risks associated with over-extraction. Lastly, the findings will provide critical data and insights for policymakers, facilitating the development of regulations and practices that promote equitable and sustainable water resource management.

## 6. Conclusion:

The proposed research endeavours to advance the field of mathematical modelling in porous media by tackling significant challenges associated with fluid flow, transport, and reactive processes. By developing innovative models that integrate classical approaches with modern techniques, such as machine learning and advanced hydrogeological mapping, this research aims to enhance our understanding of subsurface dynamics. The anticipated outcomes will have far-reaching implications, not only enriching theoretical frameworks but

also providing practical solutions for sustainable groundwater management and energy resource optimization. Ultimately, this research holds the potential to inform policy-making and contribute to environmental sustainability, ensuring that critical water resources are managed effectively in an increasingly resource-constrained world.

## References:

1. Baker, L., Johnson, A., & Smith, R. (2019). Effects of land-use changes on groundwater quality: Implications for urbanization. *Environmental Management*, 63(2), 215–232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-018-1111-7>
2. Bear, J., Cheng, A., & Zhou, Q. (2018). Contaminant transport modelling in subsurface environments: Impacts on remediation strategies. *Water Resources Research*, 54(9), 6485–6500. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR022435>
3. Benson, S. M., Hepple, R. P., & McCoy, S. T. (2015). CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration in geological formations: Insights from the Ketzin site in Germany. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 40, 189–199.



- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.06.002>
4. De Simoni, M., Adhikari, P., & Fabbri, P. (2011). Modelling the coupling of fluid flow and chemical reactions in heterogeneous media. *Groundwater*, 49(3), 305–308. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2011.00775.x>
5. Fleming, S. W., Mattson, E., & Ratzlaff, D. (2015). Capillary pressure hysteresis in multiphase flow: Implications for subsurface fluid dynamics. *Journal of Hydrology*, 529, 1076–1085. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.09.045>
6. Friedman, S. P., Sharif, A., & Worrall, F. (2017). Effects of hydraulic fracturing on subsurface flow dynamics: Insights from shale gas operations. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 39, 169–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2017.01.030>
7. Gao, H., Wang, Q., & Zhao, X. (2022). Multi-component gas mixtures during multiphase flow: A model for natural gas production. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 207, Article 109303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2021.109303>
8. Gao, H., Wang, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels and their influence on groundwater systems: A modelling approach. *Water Resources Research*, 59(5), Article WR031455, e2022. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR031455>
9. Gomez, J. F., Kim, H., & Rodriguez, E. (2020). Optimizing water treatment processes through machine learning: A case study. *Water Research*, 170, Article 115308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.115308>
10. Helmig, R., Hesse, M., & Hölting, B. (2013). Nonlinearities in capillary pressure and relative permeability: A framework for multiphase flow modelling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 494, 114–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.05.042>
11. Huang, Y., Wang, J., & Chen, Y. (2020). Influence of fracture surface roughness on flow dynamics in fractured porous media. *Journal of Hydrology*, 586, Article 124809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124809>

12. International Energy Agency. (n.d.). Enhanced oil recovery. Retrieved September 24, 2024, <https://www.iea.org/topics/energy-supply/enhanced-oil-recovery>
13. International Water Management Institute. (n.d.). *Groundwater Depletion: A Global Issue*. Retrieved September 24, 2024, <https://www.iwmi.cgiar.org/>
14. Karimi-Fard, M., Fattahi, N., & Salehi, S. (2016). Discrete fracture network modelling in low-permeability formations: A case study from the Bakken formation. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 139, 174–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2016.10.018>
15. Karra, S., Olsson, A., & Myer, W. (2020). Predicting permeability distributions in heterogeneous reservoirs using machine learning. *Computers and Geosciences*, 135, Article 104360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2019.104360>
16. Lei, S., Zhang, L., & Wu, Y. (2015). Stochastic modelling of fracture networks in the Appalachian Basin: Impacts on fluid transport. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 23, 12–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2015.01.003>
17. Li, L., & Benson, S. M. (2015). Pore-scale heterogeneity and its effects on reaction kinetics in porous media. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49(12), 7260–7267. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00077>
18. Li, Q., M., & Wang, S. (2020). Effects of thermal gradients on fluid behaviour in geothermal reservoirs. *Geothermic*, 84, Article 101774. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2019.101774>
19. Martinez, L. M., Kim, H. J., & Gonzalez, S. (2024). Innovative reactive transport models for carbon sequestration and soil stabilization. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 320, Article 115862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.115862>
20. Martinez, L. M., Nelson, B., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Predicting sediment transport in river systems: Advances through machine learning. *Journal of Hydrology*, 603, Article 126817. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126817>

21. Nord Botten, J. M., & Celia, M. A. (2012). Modelling CO<sub>2</sub> migration and trapping in geological formations: Insights from the Sleipner project. *Energy Procedia*, 23, 20–28.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2012.06.027>
22. Patel, V., Hargrove, W. W., & Lechner, A. (2023). Changing precipitation patterns and their effects on groundwater recharge rates: Implications for water management. *Water Resources Research*, 59(8), Article WR034568, e2023.  
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2023WR034568>
23. Smith, R. A., Johnson, C. L., & Lee, K. (2023). Land use interactions and groundwater quality: Impacts of agricultural practices. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 57(1), 512–520.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c05321>
24. Sun, J., Liu, Q., & Zhang, L. (2020). Data-driven models for predicting flow behaviours in heterogeneous aquifers. *Journal of Hydrology*, 580, Article 124292.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124292>
25. United Nations. (2022). *World water development report 2022: Groundwater*: Bansal, R.
26. United States Energy Information Administration. (2022). Today in energy: Global energy consumption in 2022. Retrieved September 24, 2024, <https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=52258>
27. United States Geological Survey. (n.d.). *Contaminated Groundwater and Drinking Water Sources*. Retrieved September 24, 2024, <https://www.usgs.gov/>
28. Wang, Y., Zhao, X., & Li, Y. (2021). *Predicting groundwater contamination using random forest alg* Xie
29. Xiong, J., Zhang, Y., & Huang, Y. (2019). Temperature effects on reactive transport pr orithms: A case study. *Environmental Pollution*, 269, Article 116099.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116099>
30. 28.ocesses in heterogeneous porous media: A field study. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 53(15), 8920-8929.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01907>
31. Zhang, Y., Li, H., & Wang, L. (2016). Colloidal particles in reactive transport:

- Impacts on contaminant mobility. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 187, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2016.05.004>
32. Arti, A. (2024). Humanities, Arts, and Social Sciences, Social-Media and Social Networking: Good Governance. *Shodh Sari-An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, 03(02), 40–49. <https://doi.org/10.59231/sari7686>
33. Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., & Li, H. (2018). A new computational framework for simulating multiphase flow in fractured porous media. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 162, 356–368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2017.10.030>
34. Zhang, Y., & Wu, J. (2021). Advanced modelling techniques for enhanced oil recovery processes: Implications for fluid interactions. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 203, Article 108581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2021.108581>
35. Zhou, Y., Zhang, L., & Jiang, L. (2022). Assessing aquifer vulnerability using deep learning models: High-resolution predictions of contamination risk. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 56(12), 8500–8510. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c07282>

Received on Jan 20, 2025

Accepted on March 05, 2025

Published on April 01, 2025

Mathematical Approaches for Modelling Flow and Transport Porous Media: Enhancing Groundwater Resource Development © 2025 by Rekha Bansal is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0